

## Assignment #9

Due *Friday May 11, 2007*.

0. Read sections 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3.
1. (15 points) Exercise 2.10 in MORTON & MAYERS 2ND ED (page 60).
2. (15 points) Exercise 4.4 in MORTON & MAYERS 2ND ED (pages 147–148).
3. (20 points) *Implement* method (6.29b) for equation (6.20) in MORTON & MAYERS 2ND ED. In particular, approximate the solution  $u(x, y)$  on the square  $(x, y) \in [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$  if

$$a(x, y) = 0.7 + \frac{x - y}{1 + (x - y)^2}$$

and

$$f(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & (x + 0.5)^2 + (y + 0.5)^2 < 0.1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Use boundary conditions  $u = 0$  on all edges of the square. Be sure to use sparse matrix storage. I suggest you just solve the linear system by “`A\b`”. *Show* the result for the  $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.1$  and  $\Delta x = \Delta y = 0.01$  cases. Note the sizes of these two matrix problems. *Explain* the result by physical reasoning. In particular, interpret  $u(x, y)$  as the equilibrium temperature of a square plate with nonconstant conductivity  $a(x, y)$  and heat source distribution  $f(x, y)$ ; plotting  $a(x, y)$  and  $f(x, y)$  will be very useful in giving this explanation.

4. (20 points) Read the one page derivation of the telegraph equation by J. Feldman. To give context, and for entertainment, read the several page historical reading from T. Körner's *Fourier Analysis*.

(a) Consider equation (3) in the Feldman notes, called the *telegraph equation*. First, *describe* in a couple of sentences how the telegraph equation is a generalization of the wave equation. In particular, justify physically, in terms of the derivation given by Feldman, which uses a circuit describing a segment of telegraph wire, why the  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  case of equation (3) is the wave equation.

(b) Next, download the short MATLAB code

`http://www.dms.uaf.edu/~bueler/waveleap.m`

It solves the wave equation by the leap frog method described in MORTON & MAYERS 2ND ED (section 4.9, pages 125–127). In particular, `waveleap.m` approximates the function  $u(x, t)$  which solves the PDE  $u_{tt} = c^2 u_{xx}$  in the domain  $(x, t) \in [0, 100] \times [0, 40]$  using speed  $c = 1$  and boundary/initial conditions

$$u(0, t) = u(100, t) = 0, \quad u(x, 0) = \exp(-(x - 50)^2/2), \quad u_t(x, 0) = 0.$$

Become familiar with this program and evaluate its accuracy by

- i) *adding a comment to each line of the program which explains what it does*; call your new program `waveleapXX.m` where “XX” are your initials;
- ii) *finding the exact solution of this wave problem using the d’Alembert solution*; note I showed the d’Alembert solution in class but you should be able to look it up in nearly any undergraduate PDE text;
- iii) *adding the exact solution to `waveleapXX.m` and computing the error at  $t = 40$ , by comparing to the exact solution at  $J = 40, 100, 400, 2000$ ; compute and report a convergence rate.*

(c) Now go back to the telegraph equation (3) and apply the leap frog method to it. That is, implement the leap frog method on (3) by *modifying `waveleapXX.m`* to take any  $\alpha, \beta$ . Call your new program `teleleapXX.m`. You will need to derive a new first order pair of equations which generalize (4.98) in MORTON & MAYERS 2ND ED; note that the equation  $u_t = v$  must also be solved, as in `waveleap.m`. Your program should still be able to solve the problem in (a), so you may at least check it against the exact solution there. *Show* what your program computes in the  $J = 100$  and  $J = 1000$  cases if  $\alpha = 0.03$  and  $\beta = 0.001$ . In this case there is a substantial leakage current but the wire is still a good conductor; use the same domain, speed, and boundary/initial conditions as in (a). Note you will need to be careful if you want to preserve the accuracy present in `waveleap.m`. Also, *display* the solutions  $u(x, t=40)$ , for the  $\alpha = \beta = 0$  case and for the just-described case with leakage; display as functions of  $x$  in the usual way.